1 KEITH R. VERGES 2 PARKER D. YOUNG RAYMOND E. WALKER 3 FIGARI & DAVENPORT, L.L.P. 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3400 4 IT IS SO ORDEREI DALLAS, TEXAS 75202 5 TEL: (214) 939-2000 FAX: (214) 939-2090 6 ADMITTED PRO HAC VICE 7 SHAWN T. LEUTHOLD LAW OFFICE OF SHAWN T. LEUTHOLD 1671 THE ALAMEDA #303 /21/2010 9 SAN JOSE, CALIFORNIA 95126 TELEPHONE: (408) 924-0132 10 FACSIMILE: (408) 924-0134 11 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Brice Yingling d/b/a Alamo Autosports and Andy Scott 12 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 13 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 14 SAN JOSE DIVISION 15 16 BRICE YINGLING D/B/A Case No. 5:09-CV-01733-JW ALAMO AUTOSPORTS 17 AND ANDY SCOTT, STIPULATION REGARDING BRIEFING ON PLAINTIFFS' REQUEST 18 FOR CLARIFICATION OR MODIFICATION OF THE 19 Plaintiffs, SCHEDULING ORDER RE: **CLASS CERTIFICATION** 20 V. 21 EBAY, INC., Jury Trial Demanded 22 DEFENDANT. 23 24 Pursuant to L. R. 7.12 and 7.4(b), Plaintiffs, having stipulated with Defendant, file this 25 request to set an accelerated briefing schedule regarding their request for clarification and/or 26 27 modification of the Court's Scheduling Order Re: Class Certification (Docket no. 42). Plaintiffs 28 Stipulation Regarding Briefing on Plaintiffs' Request for Clarification or Modification of the Scheduling Order Re: Class Certification 1

Case No. C 09-01733-JW (PVT)

Case 5:09-cv-01733-EJD Document 63 Filed 01/27/10 Page 2 of 3

filed their motion to compel and to modify Scheduling Order on December 17, 2009 (the "Motion," Docket no. 50). Because the issues presented were primarily discovery disputes, the Motion was set for hearing before Magistrate Judge Trumbull.

One prominent issue in the Motion is whether the Scheduling Order precludes the discovery that is the subject of the Motion or in the alternative whether the Scheduling Order should be modified to eliminate bifurcation altogether. After briefing closed on the Motion, the parties presented oral argument on the Motion to Judge Trumbull on January 19, 2010. She took the matter under advisement, but indicated that the request to lift bifurcation and modify the Scheduling Order should be left ultimately for Judge Ware.

The parties would therefore like to address Plaintiffs' request for clarification or modification of the Scheduling Order to Judge Ware for decision. As an administrative convenience, and to distinguish between the overarching bifurcation issue, on one hand, and the other discovery disputes addressed by the Motion, on the other, the parties propose that Plaintiffs will re-file a separate Motion for Clarification or Modification of the Scheduling Order confined to the issue of bifurcation for Judge Ware's consideration. The parties further request an opportunity for eBay to supplement its briefing on this discreet issue and for Plaintiffs to reply.

Further, the Court's decision on whether to maintain or discard bifurcation may well impact ongoing discovery, and the discovery deadline is currently set for February 22, 2010. Accordingly, the parties also request an accelerated briefing schedule such that these matters will be fully briefed and ripe for consideration in connection with the upcoming Interim Management Conference, currently set for 10:00 a.m. on February 8, 2010.

For the foregoing reasons, the parties respectfully request that the Court adopt the following briefing schedule:

Case 5:09-cv-01733-EJD Document 63 Filed 01/27/10 Page 3 of 3

1	January 25, 2010. Plaintiffs to file their Motion for Clarification or Modification of the
2	Scheduling Order, which may incorporate designated portions of the Motion pertaining to
3	bifurcation.
4	January 29, 2010. eBay to file its response.
5	February 1, 2010. Plaintiffs to file any reply.
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	AGREED:
12	
13	By: /s/ Raymond E. Walker Keith R. Verges By: Whitty Somvichian
	Parker D. Young Michael G. Rhodes Lorges Parking
14	Raymond E. Walker James Penning
15	THE FOREGOING STIPULATION IS APPROVED AND IS SO ORDERED. The matter is submitted without oral argument unless otherwise ordered by the Court.
16	Dated: January 27, 2010
17	United States District Judge FILER'S ATTESTATION:
18	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, 5X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of
19	perjury that the concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from its signatories.
20 21	Dated: January 22, 2010 By: /s/ Raymond E. Walker Raymond E. Walker
22	Raymond E. Wanter
23	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE
24	I hereby certify that all counsel of record will be served with a copy of this document via
25	the Court's CM/ECF system pursuant to the local rules of this Court, on this 22nd day of January
	2010.
2627	/s/ Raymond E. Walker Raymond E. Walker
28	Stipulation Regarding Briefing on Plaintiffs' Request for Clarification or Modification of the Scheduling Order Re: Class Certification 3 Case No. C 09-01733-JW (PVT)